

MAY 22 2008

Response to Restriction Requirement

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed November 29, 2007, Applicant hereby provisionally elects claims Group I (Claims 1-18 and 30-48) for continued examination.

The Examiner has further required the election of species as set forth on pages 6 and 7 of the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicants elect:

First Species Election: The presence of a piperazine-N'-propane sulfonic acid derivative. Specifically, Applicant elects the acetate ester of HEPES, as set forth in Table II, Formulation B. Claims 1, 2, 6-9, 12-18, 30-35, 39-44, and 48 read on this elected species.

Second Species Election: The presence of more than two surfactants. Claims 1, 2, 6-18, 30-35, 39-44, and 48 read on this elected species.

Third Species Election: The presence of a solubilizer in the cleanser composition. Specifically, Applicant elects a polyoxyethylene derivative of a fatty acid ester of sorbitol. Claims 1, 2, 6-18, 30-35, 39-44, and 48 read on this elected species.

Fourth Species Election: The presence of a single preservative in the cleanser composition. Claims 1, 2, 6-18, 30-35, 39-44, and 48 read on this elected species.

Fifth Species Election: Applicant elect the disorder of dry eye, with traverse. Applicant does not believe a disease or disorder need be elected as claims 3-5, 36-38, and 45-47 have been cancelled. Therefore, Applicant traverses the election of a specific ocular disorder.

BOS2 675131.1

Application No.: 11/529,096

9

Docket No.: 66325CON(200696)

Dated: May 22, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Jonathan M. Sparks, Ph.D.

Registration No.: 53,624

EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE
LLP

P.O. Box 55874

Boston, Massachusetts 02205

(617) 517-5543

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

BOS2 675131.1